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Abstract — Image forgery detection is one of important activities of digital forensics. Forging an image has become very easy and visually confusing with the real one. Different features of an image can be used in passive forgery detection. Most of lossy compression methods demonstrate some distinct characteristics. JPEG images have a traceable zero valued DCT coefficients in the high frequency regions due to quantization. This appears as a square grid all over the image, known as Block Artifact Grid (BAG). In this paper the BAG based copy-paste forgery detection method is improved by changing the input DCT coefficients for Local Effect computation. The proposed method has shown a better performance especially for complex images.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The development of computer technology has enabled digital image forgery extremely easy and leaves no visual clue of being tampered. This fact is deteriorating the historical trust of image evidences. In digital investigation, there are active and passive ways to authenticate integrity of digital images. Active techniques involve embedding of data during the time of recording or sending. Digital watermarks and digital signatures are widely used active image authentication techniques. However, it is not always feasible to embed a watermark or signature to an image. This limits the use of active techniques.

Passive authentication techniques are based on the analysis of different image attributes to detect inconsistencies that might be caused by forgery. Different features of image can be used for forgery detection [1], pixel statistics of natural image, lossy compression artifacts, the nature of image capturing devices, and the characteristics of interaction between physical object, light and camera and so on. This paper focuses on the lossy compression artifact based passive authentication technique for JPEG images.

II. JPEG COMPRESSION

JPEG is widely used lossy image compression technique which exploits the fact that human eye is less sensitive to changes of high frequency components. JPEG transformation functions operate block wise, i.e. after breaking the whole image into 8x8 pixel blocks.

JPEG encoder first transforms the pixel values into frequency domain using discrete cosine transformation (DCT). It transforms spatial domain to frequency domain as shown in eq(1). In a DCT matrix the high frequency components are located in the lower right side of the block. The next transformation is quantization, which is the only lossy part of JPEG compression. It is designed in such a way that it suppresses most of high frequency components. Quantization table decides which frequency components to suppress. The final step in JPEG is entropy coding, which is Huffman or arithmetic coding that follows the zigzag scanning and run-length encoding, which are reversible processes.

\[
F(u, v) = \frac{1}{4} C(u) C(v) \sum_{x=1}^{256} \sum_{y=1}^{256} f(x,y) \cos \left( \frac{2\pi x u}{16} \right) \cos \left( \frac{2\pi y v}{16} \right) \quad \text{eq(1)}
\]

where

\[
C(k) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{for } k = 0 \\
1, & \text{ otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

JPEG decoder does the inverse of the encoder transformations in a reverse order, entropy decoding, run-length decoding, reverse zigzag scan, inverse quantization and inverse DCT transform. Finally it puts the decompressed blocks in a right order to get the image.

III. BLOCK ARTIFACT GRID (BAG)

After JPEG compression, the image has zero DCT coefficients in the high frequency regions. In frequency domain each blocks appear to have zero high frequency components that are located near the right bottom of the DCT matrix. This leaves a noticeable trace of zero DCT coefficients that makes square grid of zero’s all over JPEG compressed image. This artifact is exploited to authenticate originality of JPEG images. For instance in intact JPEG compressed image all the BAG appear to be matched all over the image. The schematic diagram in fig 1.a. shows a matched BAG appears all over the image. Whereas, if portion of the image is copied and pasted else were, there is a 63/64 = 98.44% probability that the BAG in the duplicated area will not match with the rest of the image as shown in fig 1.b.
In a copy-paste forged image blocks of the doctored region has higher entropy than the normal region of the image. It is caused due to the mismatched BAG. Fig 2.a shows a sample image of three moons on a dark sky, but only the middle is real. Fig 2.b shows plot of DCT coefficients of the forged image. The white square grid is inserted in order to visualise distribution of DCT coefficients. As we can see AC coefficients of the duplicated object has higher entropy than the original object. In other words, even if the duplicated object looks exactly like the original for human eyes, there is a noticeable change in magnitude of higher frequency DCT coefficients. This feature is exploited to detect forgery.

The LE computation above helps to detect the BAG location in JPEG image. For JPEG image the LE value gets minimizes when the BAG fits. If there is a forgery there will be a non-zero DCT coefficients on the bottom row and/or left end column. As a result LE value gets high and it implies which there is a BAG mismatch. LE value is computed by moving the 8x8 window all over the image and the BAG can be plotted. The area where we have mismatching grid is possibly tampered region. Dijana et al [3] extended the application of BAG mismatch detection in different types of forgery. They used it to detect spliced images, and when edges of the forged region smoothen by averaging values of neighbouring pixels. The proposed detection approach is demonstrated on forged image shown above in fig2.a. For convenience the matching grid is replaced by a single dot on the upper left corner, and only the mismatched BAG represented by grid as shown in fig 3.

This approach detects forgery very well on images with smooth background. In case of complex images, it is possible to have larger magnitude of high frequency DCT components located on the right bottom region of DCT coefficients. As result LE computation indicates a BAG mismatch detected even though there is no forgery. The camera man image in fig 4.a. is copy-paste forged to duplicate the tower on the right side. As we can see on Fig 4.b. even if the algorithm has detected the forged area, it also has shown some false positive on the intact regions.
This approach totally fails to detect forgery in very complex images. Fig 5.a. shows a forged image of tank in a desert, i.e. in the picture the lower tank is forged. The output of the detection algorithm on fig 5.b. shows that there are BAG mismatch all over the image. In this particular example the algorithm has failed to detect the forgery.

Therefore in the proposed approach all DCT coefficients in the lower anti-triangular matrix are considered in LE computation. Eq (3) shows the LE computation using the window W2 in fig 6.b.

\[
LE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{8} \sum_{j=1}^{8} (F(i,j)W(i,j))^2}{F(1,1)^2}} \quad \text{eq(3)}
\]

where, \(F(i,j)\) is a DCT transform based on eq(1), and \(W(i,j)\) is matrix shown in fig 6.b.

VI. RESULT ANALYSIS

The proposed approach is tested with images of different complexity in order to compare the performance with the previous method. Fig 7, 8 and 9 shows the experimental result of the proposed technique applied to tampered moon, cameraman and tank images respectively. Both methods
perform very well on smooth image as shown in fig 3 and fig 7. As the complexity of the image increases the previous method detects the forgery with some false positive result around edges as shown in fig 4. However, the proposed technique shows much better result as shown in fig 8. In very complex images like Tank the previous method has failed to detect the forged area with a high false positive rate all over the image as shown in fig 5. However, the proposed technique is able to detect the forged region with some false positive rate (fig 9). As we can see from the experimental results image complexity results false positive due to the possibility of non-zero DCT coefficients on the bottom row and left end column of the block. Considering the DCT values on the lower anti-triangular matrix area in LE computation gives a better estimate of BAG mismatch.
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